

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/822,873	HENDERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian Whiteman	1635	

All Participants:

(1) Brian Whiteman.

(2) Linda Judge.

Status of Application: Extension/Retraction

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

55-60 filed on 4/13/04 and claims filed on 10/6/04

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed that claims 55-60 filed on 4/13/04 and claims 55-60 filed on 10/6/04 have the same number and are directed to different inventions. Applicant's representative clarified that claimd 10/6/04 are the correct claims and the claims will be renumbered in response to a future office action and claims 55-60 will be cancelled.